Pupil Premium Strategy



2022-2025

Our philosophy

We believe in maximising the use of the pupil premium grant (PPG) by utilising a long-term strategy aligned to the SDP. This enables us to implement a blend of short, medium and long-term interventions, and align pupil premium use with wider school improvements and improving readiness to learn.

Overcoming barriers to learning is at the heart of our PPG use. We understand that needs and costs will differ depending on the barriers to learning being addressed. As such, we do not automatically allocate personal budgets per pupil in receipt of the PPG. Instead, we identify the barrier to be addressed and the interventions required, whether in small groups, large groups, the whole school or as individuals, and allocate a budget accordingly.

Our priorities

Setting priorities is key to maximising the use of the PPG. Our priorities are as follows:

- Closing the attainment gap between disadvantaged pupils and their peers
- Providing targeted academic support for pupils who are not making the expected progress
- Addressing non-academic barriers to attainment such as attendance and behaviour
- Ensuring that the PPG reaches the pupils who need it most

Barriers to future attainment

Academic barriers to attainment	Non-academic barriers to attainment
Acquisition of gross and fine motor skills in EYFS/KS1 are lower for children eligible for PP which slows their literacy progress in subsequent years.	Social, emotional and mental health issues affect some of our PP children which can have an impact on their attitude to learning and behaviour.
A number of children in receipt of PP are also on the SEND register which prevents sustained high achievement in KS2.	Lower parental engagement especially regarding attendance at information evenings and completion of homework.
Slower progress in writing for some prior middle attainers prevents children from achieving age related expectations in KS2	

Our implementation process

We believe in identifying a small number of priorities and implementing them fully to ensure the best chance of success. We use evidence-based interventions and learn monitor their success in order to learn from our experiences. Annual light-touch reviews are utilised to ensure that our approach is effective and any interventions that are not having the intended impact are amended or removed. We will:

Explore

- Identify a key priority that we can address
- Systematically explore appropriate programmes and practices
- Examine the fit and feasibility with the school

Prepare

- Develop a clear, logical and well-specified plan
- Assess the readiness of the school to deliver the plan
- Make practical preparations

Deliver

- Support staff and solve any problems using a flexible leadership approach
- Reinforce initial training with follow-on support
- Drive faithful adoption and intelligent adaption

Sustain

- Plan for sustaining and scaling the intervention from the outset
- Continually acknowledge, support and reward good implementation practices
- Treat scale-up as a new implementation process

Our tiered approach

To prioritise spending, we have adopted a tiered approach to define our priorities and ensure balance. Our tiered approach comprises three categories:

- 1. Targeted academic support
- 2. Targeted social and emotional support
- 3. Wider strategies

Within each category, we have chosen a specific intervention. This focussed approach ensures the best chance of success for each intervention.

Targeted Academic Support

1. Implementation of structured interventions and small group tuition for pupils who are below age related expectations.

Targeted Social and Emotional Support

2. Provision of a trained Emotional Literacy Support Assistant (ELSA) to provided support and structured intervention for pupils with identified social, emotional and mental health issues.

Wider strategies

3. Parental engagement: Provide additional financial support for pupil premium pupils in order to allow access to additional extra-curricular activities.

Full planning details for interventions are outlined in the 'Intervention planning in full' section.

Our review process

Annually reviewing a one-year pupil premium plan and creating a new plan each year is timecostly and ineffective. This three-year approach allows us to dedicate more time up-front and introduce light-touch reviews annually.

During a light-touch review, we will review the success of each intervention, based on evidence, and determine the most effective approach moving forwards – adapting, expanding or ceasing the intervention as required.

Individual targets are set for each pupil in receipt of the PPG and their progress towards achieving these targets is analysed at the end of interventions.

The progress of pupils in receipt of the PPG is regularly discussed with class teachers.

Once the three-year term has been completed, a new three-year strategy will be created in light of the lessons learned during the execution of the previous strategy, and with regard to any new guidance and evidence of best practice that becomes available. The headteacher is responsible for ensuring a pupil premium strategy is always in effect.

Accountability

Ofsted inspections will report on the attainment and progress of disadvantaged pupils in receipt of the PPG.

The school is held to account for the spending of the PPG through the focus in Ofsted inspections on the progress and attainment of the wider pupil premium eligible cohort; however, they will not look for evidence of the grant's impact on individual pupils, or on precise interventions.

The school publishes its strategy for using the pupil premium on the school website.

The school publishes a link to the <u>school and college performance tables</u> and the schools' performance tables page on the school website.

Pupil Premium spending and its impact is monitored by the school's Governing Body. There is a named governor with responsibility for Pupil Premium.

Our funding

		Funding su	ımmary: Yea	ır 1			
Total number of pupils	187	PPG received per pupil	£1320 basic £1900 enhanced	Indicative PPG as advised in School Budget Statement	£22,740		
		Number of pupils eligible for PPG	15	Actual PPG budget	£22,507		
	Funding estimate: Year 2						
Estimated pup	oil numbers	185	185				
Estimated nur pupils eligible		15					
Estimated fun	ding	£22,507	£22,507				
		Funding es	stimate: Yea	r 3			
Estimated pup	oil numbers	180					
Estimated number of pupils eligible for PPG 17							
Estimated fun	ding	£23,661					

Intervention planning in full

Intervention:	Implementation of structured interventions and small group tuition for pupils who are below age related expectations.				
Category:	Targeted Support		Staff Lead	Deputy Head	lteacher
Intended outcomes:	To accelerate progress in reading, writing or maths through targeted individual and small group support		Success criteria:	Identified pupils make accelerated progress. Increased number of identified pupils reach age re expectations Gaps are narrowed between identified pupils and t peers	
	Year 1		Year 2		Year 3
	Consolidate learning completed in class.				
	Pre-teach, preparing pupils for future learning, in order to build confidence and give higher level starting points to learning.				
	Address specific gaps in learning in consultation with class teachers.				
Implementation	Ensure SEND pupils meet expected progress targets.				
	Give feedback to vulnerable pupils about their performance relative to learning goals.				
	Map provision termly on an intervention provision map.				
	Use of baseline and exit assessments.				
	Monitor pupil attainment and progress termly at Pupil Progress Meetings.				

	Year 1 Annual review notes:	Year 2 Annual review notes:	Year 3 Final review notes:
Light-touch review notes			
Light-touch review overall assessment	 The intervention is performing: Far above expectations □ Above expectations □ As expected □ Below expectations □ Far below expectations □ 	 The intervention is performing: Far above expectations □ Above expectations □ As expected □ Below expectations □ Far below expectations □ 	 The intervention is performing: Far above expectations □ Above expectations □ As expected □ Below expectations □ Far below expectations □

Anticipated expenditure	Year 1	£399 (+ recovery and tutoring funding of £6640)	Year 2 Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	0 (Decrease)	Year 3 Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	£1888 (Increase)
	Total anticipated expenditure:	£2287				
			Year 2	£	Year 3	£
Actual expenditure	Year 1	£	Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increased □ Decreased □ Remained the same □	Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increased Decreased Remained the same
	Total actual expenditure:	£		·		

Intervention:	Provision of a trained Pastoral Support Assistant/Emotional Literacy Support Assistant (ELSA) to provided support and structured intervention for pupils with identified social, emotional and mental health issues.					
Category:	Targeted Support		Staff Lead	SENDCo		
Intended outcomes:	For Vulnerable PP pupils to have improved social skills and a wider circle of friends; be able to manage their feelings in a more positive way and have improved self-esteem.		Success criteria:	Identified pupils demonstrate improved social skills ar report a wider friendship circle. Behaviour records show decrease of incidents for identified pupils Assessment of identified pupils' semh needs show improvement		
	Year 1		Year 2		Year 3	
Implementation	Pastoral Support Assistant/Emotional Literacy Support Assistant (ELSA) to target vulnerable PP pupils in small group and/or individual sessions and provide a lunchtime base to help them to improve their social skills, friendships and self-esteem, and to better manage their feelings and emotions.					

	Year 1 Annual review notes:	Year 2 Annual review notes:	Year 3 Final review notes:
Light-touch review notes			
Light-touch review overall assessment	 The intervention is performing: Far above expectations □ Above expectations □ As expected □ Below expectations □ Far below expectations □ 	 The intervention is performing: Far above expectations □ Above expectations □ As expected □ Below expectations □ Far below expectations □ 	 The intervention is performing: Far above expectations □ Above expectations □ As expected □ Below expectations □ Far below expectations □

Anticipated expenditure	Year 1	£21,208	Year 2 Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase £21,607	Year 3 Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase £21,673
	Total anticipated expenditure:	£64,488				
			Year 2	£	Year 3	£
Actual expenditure	Year 1	£	Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increased Decreased Remained the same	Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increased Decreased Remained the same
	Total actual expenditure:	£	·			

Intervention:	Parental engagement: Provide additional financial support for pupil premium pupils in order to allow access to additional extra-curricular activities.					
Category:	Wider Strategies Staff Lead Headteacher					
Intended outcomes:	For eligible pupils to have the same opportunities for enrichment activities as other pupils.		Success criteria:	Identified pupil curricular activ	Is access an increased range of extra- /ities.	
			All pupils are able to ac		able to access all activities	
	Year 1		Year 2		Year 3	
Implementation	Enrichment costs provided to enable PP children to attend educational visits, residential visits and extra-curricular clubs. PP pupils engaging in a range of enrichment activities will be logged and monitored.					

	Year 1 Annual review notes:	Year 2 Annual review notes:	Year 3 Final review notes:
Light-touch review notes			
Light-touch review overall assessment	 The intervention is performing: Far above expectations □ Above expectations □ As expected □ Below expectations □ Far below expectations □ 	 The intervention is performing: Far above expectations □ Above expectations □ As expected □ Below expectations □ Far below expectations □ 	 The intervention is performing: Far above expectations □ Above expectations □ As expected □ Below expectations □ Far below expectations □

Anticipated expenditure	Year 1	£ 900	Year 2 Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	(equal) £ 900	Year 3 Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	increase £1000
	Total anticipated expenditure:	£ 2800				
			Year 2	£	Year 3	£
Actual expenditure	Year 1	£	Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increased □ Decreased □ Remained the same □	Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increased □ Decreased □ Remained the same □
	Total actual expenditure:	£				