



# Pupil Premium Strategy 2022-2025

# **Our philosophy**

We believe in maximising the use of the Pupil Premium Grant (PPG) by utilising a long-term strategy aligned to the School Improvement Plan. This enables us to implement a blend of short, medium and long-term interventions, and align pupil premium use with wider school improvements and improving readiness to learn.

Overcoming barriers to learning is at the heart of our PPG use. We understand that needs and costs will differ depending on the barriers to learning being addressed. As such, we do not automatically allocate personal budgets per pupil in receipt of the PPG. Instead, we identify the barrier to be addressed and the interventions required, whether in small groups, large groups, the whole school or as individuals, and allocate a budget accordingly.

## Our priorities

Setting priorities is key to maximising the use of the PPG. Our priorities are as follows:

- Closing the attainment gap between disadvantaged pupils and their peers
- Providing targeted academic support for pupils who are not making the expected progress
- Addressing non-academic barriers to attainment such as attendance and behaviour
- Ensuring that the PPG reaches the pupils who need it most

#### **Barriers to future attainment**

Academic barriers to attainment	Non-academic barriers to attainment		
Lower language and physical development on entry resulting in slower acquisition of key skills during early years.	Delayed social and emotional skills, impacting on behaviour and learning.		
Pupil Premium eligible pupils who also have with SEND.	Parental engagement		
Progress in key skills in Maths and English is slower than expected.	Securing external agency involvement		

# **Our implementation process**

We believe in selecting a small number of priorities and giving them the best chance of success. We use evidence-based interventions and monitor their success in order to learn from our experiences. Annual light-touch reviews are utilised to ensure that our approach is effective and any interventions that are not having the intended impact are amended or removed.

We will:

#### **Explore**

- Identify a key priority that we can address
- Systematically explore appropriate programmes and practices
- Examine the fit and feasibility with the school

### **Prepare**

- Develop a clear, logical and well-specified plan
- Assess the readiness of the school to deliver the plan
- Make practical preparations

#### **Deliver**

- Support staff and solve any problems using a flexible leadership approach
- Reinforce initial training with follow-on support
- Drive faithful adoption and intelligent adaption

#### **Sustain**

- Plan for sustaining and scaling the intervention from the outset
- Continually acknowledge, support and reward good implementation practices
- Treat scale-up as a new implementation process

# Our tiered approach

To prioritise spending, we have adopted a tiered approach to define our priorities and ensure balance. Our tiered approach comprises three categories:

- 1. Targeted academic support
- 2. Targeted social and emotional support
- 3. Wider strategies

Within each category, we have chosen specific interventions. This focussed approach ensures the best chance of success for each intervention.

#### **Targeted academic support**

- Contribution to employment of additional teacher to provide small class sizes in Early Years
- 2. Employment of Learning Support Assistant to provide small group maths and English support
- 3. Weekly Homework Club for invited pupils.

#### Targeted social and emotional support

1. Employment of Learning Mentor to provide emotional literacy support and intervention through in class and withdrawal group support.

#### Wider strategies

1. Bursary for each eligible child to subsidise costs of school activities and resources.

Full planning details for interventions are outlined in the 'Intervention planning in full' section.

## Our review process

Annually reviewing a one-year pupil premium plan and creating a new plan each year is time-costly and ineffective. This three-year approach allows us to dedicate more time up-front and introduce light-touch reviews annually.

During a light-touch review, we will review the success of each intervention, based on evidence, and determine the most effective approach moving forwards – adapting, expanding or ceasing the intervention as required.

Individual targets are set for each pupil in receipt of the PPG and their progress towards achieving these targets is analysed at the end of interventions.

The progress of pupils in receipt of the PPG is regularly discussed with subject teachers.

Once the three-year term has been completed, a new three-year strategy will be created in light of the lessons learned during the execution of the previous strategy, and with regard to any new guidance and evidence of best practice that becomes available. The headteacher is responsible for ensuring a pupil premium strategy is always in effect.

# **Accountability**

Ofsted inspections will report on the attainment and progress of disadvantaged pupils in receipt of the PPG.

The school is held to account for the spending of the PPG through the focus in Ofsted inspections on the progress and attainment of the wider pupil premium eligible cohort; however, they will not look for evidence of the grant's impact on individual pupils, or on precise interventions.

The school publishes its strategy for using the pupil premium on the school website.

The school publishes a link to the <u>school and college performance tables</u> and the schools' performance tables page on the school website.

Pupil Premium spending and its impact is monitored by the Finance Committee of the school's Governing Body. There is a named governor with responsibility for Pupil premium.

# **Our funding**

Funding summary: Year 1								
Total number of	287	PPG received per pupil	£1385 (PLAC £2410)	Indicative PPG as advised in School Budget Statement	£54402			
pupils		Number of pupils eligible for PPG	38	Actual PPG budget	£52672			
		Funding sur	nmary: Yea	ar 2				
Total number of pupils	289	PPG received per pupil	£1455 (PLAC £2530)	Indicative PPG as advised in School Budget Statement	£54896			
		Number of pupils eligible for PPG	pupils eligible					
		Funding es	timate: Yea	r 3				
Estimated pupil numbers	294							
Estimated number of pupils eligible for PPG	40							
Estimated funding	£55442							

# Intervention planning in full

Intervention:	_Contribution to employment of additional teacher to provide small class sizes in target cohort					
Category:	_Targeted academic support		Staff lead:	Headteacher		
Intended outcomes:	Pupils make rapid gains in development of key skills in Maths and English.		Success criteria:	All pupils in target cohort receive Quality First Tead All pupils receive high quality feedback Gaps are narrowed Increasing numbers of pupils achieve ARE		
	Year 1	Year 2			Year 3	
Implementation	How we will implement this intervention in year 1: 2 small classes of Y4 pupils Teacher led tasks with individual feedback Targeted intervention for identified pupils	year 2 (in touch revi Single ag two small Teacher le	vill implement this in light of the year 1 a few): e classes in Key Standard classes of Y2 pupil ed tasks with individent intervention for ider	annual light- age 1 with ls. dual feedback	How we will implement this intervention in year 3 (in light of the year 2 light-touch annual review):	

Light-touch review notes	Annual review notes:  It was positive to have two small classes for the whole year in our targeted Year 4 cohort, particularly because of the impact of disrupted learning during Covid. Small classes enabled staff to settle and support children with filling identified gaps in targeted groups, providing personalised learning plans in order to secure accelerated progress.  Year 4 outcomes were at least in line with national in all areas apart from writing.	Annual review notes:	Final review notes:
Light-touch review overall assessment	The intervention is performing:  • Far above expectations □  • Above expectations □  • As expected □  • Below expectations □  • Far below expectations □	The intervention is performing:  • Far above expectations □  • Above expectations □  • As expected □  • Below expectations □  • Far below expectations □	The intervention is performing:  • Far above expectations □  • Above expectations □  • As expected □  • Below expectations □  • Far below expectations □

Anticipated expenditure	Year 1	£15,922	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase	
			Year 2	£ 20,201	Year 3	£ 19670	
Total anticipated expenditure:		£					
			Year 2	£	Year 3	£	
Actual expenditure		Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increased □  Decreased □  Remained the same □	Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increased □  Decreased □  Remained the same □		
	Total actual expenditure:	£					

Intervention:	Employment of Learning Support Assistant to provide small group maths and English support						
Category:	Targeted academic support		Staff Lead	Headteacher			
Intended outcomes	To accelerate progress in reading, writing or maths through targeted individual and small group support		Success criteria:	Identified pupils make accelerated progress Increased number of identified pupils reach age relate expectations Gaps are narrowed between identified pupils and the peers			
	Year 1	Year 2			Year 3		
Implementation	How we will implement this intervention in year 1:  Consolidate learning completed in class Pre-teaching in identified areas to improve access to whole class teaching for identified pupils.  Address specific gaps in learning in consultation with class teachers.  Feedback to identified pupils about their performance Map provision termly on an intervention provision map.  Use of baseline and exit assessments.  Monitor pupil attainment and progress termly at Pupil Progress Meetings.	year 2 (in touch revious Pre-teach access identified Address consultati Feedback performar Map provision Use of bar Monitor p	ate learning completing in identified are to whole class pupils.  specific gaps in on with class teach to identified pupils.	ted in class eas to improve teaching for learning in ers. Is about their intervention essments. progress	How we will implement this intervention in year 3 (in light of the year 2 light-touch annual review):		

	Annual review notes:	Annual review notes:	Final review notes:
	Intervention programmes more consistently in place during this year.		
	Interventions were carried out both within lessons and in small withdrawal groups outside of maths and English lessons.		
	Pre-teaching continued to be a particularly successful strategy.		
Light-touch review	Interventions were carefully targeted to support recovery for identified individuals and groups across the school.		
notes	End of year data indicated that in all but Year 2, at least 70% of pupils had made enough progress to reach age related expectations in reading and maths. Children, groups and cohorts who have not made enough progress have been highlighted for intense intervention for the 2023/24 academic year. Writing will continue to be a key focus area for next year.		
Light-touch review overall assessment	The intervention is performing:  • Far above expectations □  • Above expectations □  • As expected □  • Below expectations □  • Far below expectations □	The intervention is performing:  • Far above expectations □  • Above expectations □  • As expected □  • Below expectations □  • Far below expectations □	The intervention is performing:  • Far above expectations □  • Above expectations □  • As expected □  • Below expectations □  • Far below expectations □

Anticipated expenditure	Year 1	£14,945	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	decrease	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	
5 P 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1	CAPCHARAIC		Year 2	£12478	Year 3	£13172
	Total anticipated expenditure:	£42,212				
			Year 2	£	Year 3	£
Actual expenditure	Year 1	Year 1 £19,570 Did e increa or rer same		Increased □  Decreased □  Remained the same □	Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increased □  Decreased □  Remained the same □
	Total actual expenditure:	£				

Intervention:	Weekly Homework Club for invited pupils.					
Category:	Targeted Academic Support		Staff Lead:	Headteacher/Learning mentor		
Intended outcomes:	Provision of additional specified support after school will enable pupils to make progress in key areas.		Success criteria:	Skills are imp home learning	roved as a result of higher engagement in activities.	
			E		ort is provided for homework activities.	
	Year 1	Year 2			Year 3	
	How we will implement this intervention in year 1:	How we will implement this intervention in year 2 (in light of the year 1 annual light-touch review):			How we will implement this intervention in year 3 (in light of the year 2 light-touch annual review):	
Implementation	Pupils invited to take part in weekly after school activity club either to complete homework tasks or take part in other organised activities.	school ac	vited to take part in tivity club either to d k tasks or take part I activities.	complete		

			F
Light-touch review notes	Annual review notes:  This intervention was very successful during this year. It provides a well-attended and purposeful opportunity for identified pupils to complete home learning and other activities in a supportive and nurturing environment.	Annual review notes:	Final review notes:
Light-touch review overall assessment	The intervention is performing:  • Far above expectations □  • Above expectations □  • As expected □  • Below expectations □  • Far below expectations □	The intervention is performing:  • Far above expectations □ • Above expectations □ • As expected □ • Below expectations □ • Far below expectations □	The intervention is performing:  • Far above expectations □  • Above expectations □  • As expected □  • Below expectations □  • Far below expectations □

Anticipated expenditure	Year 1	£ 715	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase
51 4 511 511 51	ехрепаките		Year 2	£ 728	Year 3	£ 742
	Total anticipated expenditure:	£ 2185				
			Year 2	£	Year 3	£
Actual expenditure	ii		Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increased □  Decreased □  Remained the same □	Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increased □  Decreased □  Remained the same □
	Total actual expenditure:	£				

Intervention:	Employment of Learning Mentor to provide emotional literacy support and intervention through in class and withdrawal group support.				
Category:	Targeted social and emotional support		Staff Lead		
Intended outcomes:	For Vulnerable PP pupils to have improved social skills and a wider circle of friends; are able to manage their feelings in a more positive way; have improved self-esteem.		Success criteria:	Identified pupils demonstrate improved social skills report a wider friendship circle.  Behaviour records show decrease of incidents for identified pupils  Assessment of identified pupils' social, emotional armental health needs show improvement	
	Year 1		Year 2		Year 3
Implementation	How we will implement this intervention in year 1:  Learning Menotor (also Emotional Literacy Support Assistant trained) to target vulnerable PP pupils in small group and/or individual sessions to help them to improve their social skills, friendships and self-esteem, and to better manage their feelings and emotions.	How we will implement this interventi year 2 (in light of the year 1 annual light touch review):  Learning Menotor (also Emotional Literacy Support Assistant trained) to target vulnerable PP pupils in small grand/or individual sessions to help the improve their social skills, friendships self-esteem, and to better manage the feelings and emotions.		ennual light- otional ained) to n small group help them to endships and	How we will implement this intervention in year 3 (in light of the year 2 light-touch annual review):

Light-touch review notes	Annual review notes:  This intervention provided extremely valuable support for our most vulnerable pupils. The combination of in-class support, one to one and small group nurture and ELSA has a significantly positive impact on pupil wellbeing.  Nurture provision during lunchtime has been especially successful for pupils who struggle to manage the whole of lunchtime outdoors.	Annual review notes:	Final review notes:
Light-touch review overall assessment	The intervention is performing:  • Far above expectations □ • Above expectations □ • As expected □ • Below expectations □ • Far below expectations □	The intervention is performing:  • Far above expectations □  • Above expectations □  • As expected □  • Below expectations □  • Far below expectations □	The intervention is performing:  • Far above expectations □  • Above expectations □  • As expected □  • Below expectations □  • Far below expectations □

Anticipated expenditure	Year 1	£19290	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase
			Year 2	£21105	Year 3	£ 20,058
	Total anticipated expenditure:	£58,417				
Actual expenditure	Year 1 £1929		Year 2	£	Year 3	£
		£19290	Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increased □  Decreased □  Remained the same □	Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increased □  Decreased □  Remained the same □
	Total actual expenditure:	£				

Intervention:	Bursary for each eligible child to subsidise costs of school activities and resources.						
Category:	Wider Strategies						
Intended outcomes:	For eligible pupils to have the same opportunities for enrichment activities as other pupils.		Success criteria:	Identified pupils access an increased range of extracurricular activities.  All pupils are able to access all activities			
Staff lead:							
	Year 1	Year 2			Year 3		
Implementation	How we will implement this intervention in year 1:  Bursary provided to subsidise costs of school activities and resources.  PP pupils engaging in a range of enrichment and extra-curricular activities will be logged and monitored.	year 2 (in light of the year 1 annual light touch review):  Bursary provided to subsidise costs of school activities and resources.  PR pupils engaging in a range of		ennual light- se costs of es. le of lar activities	How we will implement this intervention in year 3 (in light of the year 2 light-touch annual review):		

Light-touch review notes	Annual review notes:  This continues to be a popular and successful intervention for our families, who have benefited from additional financial support for uniform and other activities especially during this difficult financial climate.	Annual review notes:	Final review notes:
Light-touch review overall assessment	The intervention is performing:  • Far above expectations □  • Above expectations □  • As expected □  • Below expectations □  • Far below expectations □	The intervention is performing:  • Far above expectations □  • Above expectations □  • As expected □  • Below expectations □  • Far below expectations □	The intervention is performing:  • Far above expectations □ • Above expectations □ • As expected □ • Below expectations □ • Far below expectations □

Anticipated expenditure	Year 1	£ 1800	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase
			Year 2	£6329	Year 3	£ 1900
	Total anticipated expenditure:	£ 5,500				
Actual expenditure	Year 1 £964		Year 2	£	Year 3	£
		£964	Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increased □  Decreased □  Remained the same □	Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increased □  Decreased □  Remained the same □
	Total actual expenditure:	£				