

Prevent risk assessment for schools

Person completing:

Date Implemented:

Sep-25

Date for review:

Sep-26

Tim Barrow

National Risks – risk of radicalisation generally							
Risk 1 Extremism threat including: Islamist extremism Right-wing extremism Left-wing, anarchist and single-issue extremism	Risk 2 Online radicalisation	Risk 3 The threat of terrorism					
Local Risks – risk of radicalisation in your area and institution							
Risk 1 Extremism Views which are extremism right-wing Anti-Islamic Anti-LGBTQ+ Anti- immigration	Risk 2 Online radicalisation Incel ideologies Misinformation	Risk 3 Anti-social behaviour Participation in criminal activity					

Leadership and Partnership								
Category	Risk	Hazard	Risk management	Rag	Further action needed	Lead officer	Date for completion	Support available
Leadership	What is the risk here?	What are the hazards?	What has your institution put in place to ensure sufficient understanding and buy-in from Leadership?		What does your institution need to further action to address the identified risk(s)?			Prevent e-learning Home Office offer a free e-learning package on Prevent covering: - Prevent awareness - Prevent referrals - understanding Channel Users that complete this training will receive a certificate. https://www.support-people-vulnerable-to-radicalisation.service.gov.uk/
	The setting does not place sufficient priority to Prevent and risk assessment/action plans (or does not have one) and therefore actions to mitigate risks and meet the requirements of the Duty are not effective.	Leaders (including governors and trustees) within the organisation do not understand the requirements of the Prevent Statutory Duty or the risks faced by the organisation. The Duty is not managed or enabled at a sufficiently senior level.	Prevent training is carried out by the SPOC and members of the safeguarding team. Staff receive annual update of prevent as part of safeguarding training. Prevent is reminded throughout the year. This training is additionally shared with the governing body.					
		Leaders do not have understanding and ultimate ownership of their internal safeguarding processes, nor ensuring that all staff have sufficient understanding and that staff implement the duty effectively.	Lead governor for safeguarding/Prevent lead is at appropriate seniority.					
		Leaders do not communicate and promote the importance of the duty.	Sufficient leadership ownership – risk assessments, safeguarding policies, etc. being signed off by SLT.					
		Leaders do not drive an effective safeguarding culture across the institution.	Leadership have clear understanding of reporting and referral mechanisms.					
		Leaders do not provide a safe environment in which children can learn.	Ensuring the sharing of safeguarding policies – staff sign to confirm the reading of such policies.					
		Leaders allow staff to forget the information previously covered.	Promotion of a safeguarding culture through regular training, discussions, etc with senior staff visibly involved. Clear induction for new members of staff and trainee teachers					

Category	Risk	Hazard	Risk management	Rag	Further action needed	Lead officer	Date for completion	Support available
		Gaps are not clear and training can't be delivered.	Leaders use self-evaluation to identify key priorities for continuous improvement					
Working in Partnership	The setting is not fully appraised of national and local risks, does not work with partners to safeguard children vulnerable to radicalisation, and does not have access to good practice advice, guidance or supportive peer networks.	The organisation does not establish effective partnerships with organisations such as the Local Authority and Police Prevent Team.	The providers has strong partnerships with: - Local Safeguarding Children's Partnership - DSL / headteacher forums - LADO - Community Safety Partnerships - Police Prevent Team - Channel panel - Child and family					Prevent duty guidance Outlines the requirements of the duty, including working in partnership with others. https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/prevent-duty-guidance/revised-prevent-duty-guidance-for-england-and-wales#fc-a-risk-based-approach-to-the-prevent-duty Understanding channel An overview of channel support and the Prevent Multi-Agency Panels (PMAP). https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/channel-and-prevent-multi-agency-panel-pmap-guidance Sign-up for Educate Against Hate newsletter Latest news, blogs and resources to help teachers, school leaders and designated safeguarding leads protect students from radicalisation https://signup.es-mail.co.uk/Signup/da659377ec9fa9e8d40363308d4a84ac
		Leaders are not aware of the most up-to-date prevent issues.	Effective partnerships might include: - Regular meetings with Community Support Officer - In receipt of newsletters - Being able to demonstrate effective partnerships by use of the referral process or involvement in Channel		Increase meetings / contact with community support officer to ensure there is no further information.	TB	Each half term	
Capabilities								
Staff training	Staff do not recognise signs of abuse or vulnerabilities and the risk of harm is not reported properly and promptly by staff.	Frontline staff including governors, do not understand what radicalisation means and why people may be vulnerable to being drawn into terrorism	Training is broader than face to face or e-learning. You should consider how to communicate information to staff e.g. via staff updates, notices, emails					Prevent e-learning Home Office offer a free e-learning package on Prevent covering: - Prevent awareness - Prevent referrals - understanding Channel Users that complete this training will receive a certificate. https://www.support-people-vulnerable-to-radicalisation.service.gov.uk/ Prevent resources, guidance and support The department's Educate Against Hate website provides a range of training and guidance materials. www.educateagainsthate.com
		Frontline staff including governors, do not know what measures are available to prevent people from being drawn into terrorism and do not know how to obtain support for people who may be exploited by radicalising influences. Staff do not access Prevent training or refresher training.	Ensure all staff attend safeguarding training and are familiar with key school safeguarding and statutory policies					
		Staff do not access Prevent training or refresher training.	Ensure all staff attend Prevent training with a focus on Notice, Check, Share					
		Governors are unable to hold leaders to account.	Ensure governors attend Prevent training					
		Staff are not aware of the Prevent referral process in the absence of the head.	Ensure SLT and DSL receive additional support from local partnerships and training on local processes for Prevent					
		Staff miss training.	Maintain records of all staff and governor training					
		Staff forget content.	Refresher training to take place regularly		Informally done but should be more systematic and recorded.	TB	Each Half Term	
		Information shared with staff is incorrect.	Training is quality assured and evaluated for effectiveness on a regular basis					
Information Sharing	Staff do not share information with relevant partners in a timely manner.	Staff do not feel confident sharing information with partners regarding radicalisation concerns.	The provider has a culture of safeguarding that supports effective arrangements to: - identify children who may need early help or who are at risk of neglect, abuse, grooming or exploitation - help children reduce their risk of harm by securing the support they need, or referring in a timely way to those who have the expertise to help					Resources to support information sharing The department has published guidance on making a Prevent referral. https://www.gov.uk/guidance/making-a-referral-to-prevent

Category	Risk	Hazard	Risk management	Rag	Further action needed	Lead officer	Date for completion	Support available
		Staff are not aware of the Prevent referral process.	The provider has clear processes for raising radicalisation concerns and making a Prevent referral.					
Reducing Permissive Environments								
Building children's resilience to radicalisation	Children and young people are exposed to intolerant or hateful narratives and lack understanding of the risks posed by terrorist organisations and extremist ideologies that underpin them.	The setting does not provide a safe space in which children and young people can understand and discuss sensitive topics, including terrorism and the extremist ideas that are part of terrorist ideology, and learn how to challenge these ideas.	The institution has codes of conduct for all staff (teaching and non-teaching staff)					Resources for having difficult classroom conversations Educate Against Hate has a range of resources to help teachers conduct difficult conversations with students. The 'Let's Discuss' teaching packs have been developed to help facilitate conversations about topics such as fundamental British values, extreme right-wing terrorism and Islamist extremism. www.educateagainsthate.com www.educateagainsthate.com/category/teachers/classroom-resources
		The setting does not teach a broad and balanced curriculum which promotes spiritual, moral, cultural mental and physical development of students and fundamental British values and community cohesion.	The institution carries out safer recruitment checks on all staff					
			Teaching is monitored by senior leaders through observations, book checks and is quality assured					
			The institution provides opportunities within the curriculum to discuss controversial issues and for students to develop critical thinking and digital literacy skills					
			Settings should ensure that discussions of controversial issues are carried out in a safe space.					
			The institution embeds fundamental British values into the curriculum, while also ensuring specific discussions can take place in a safe environment.					
IT policies	Ineffective IT policies increases the likelihood of students and staff being drawn into extremist material and narratives online. Inappropriate internet use by students is not identified or followed up.	Students can access terrorist and extremist material when accessing the internet at the institution.	Settings should ensure appropriate internet filtering is in place.					Web filtering and online safety The Department for Education have issued comprehensive guidance on how schools and colleges should be using filtering and monitoring standards, including specific measures to comply with the Prevent duty. https://www.gov.uk/guidance/meeting-digital-and-technology-standards-in-schools-and-colleges/filtering-and-monitoring-standards-for-schools-and-colleges Further guidance is available at https://saferinternet.org.uk/guide-and-resource/teachers-and-school-staff/appropriate-filtering-and-monitoring/appropriate-monitoring You can test whether your internet service provider removes terrorist content at http://testfiltering.com/ The Joint Information Systems Committee (JISC) can provide specialist advice and support to the further and higher education sectors to help providers ensure students are safe online and appropriate safeguards are in place. Teach about online extremism The 'Going Too Far?' resource from Educate Against Hate and the London Grid for Learning to help teach students about staying safe online https://www.educateagainsthate.com/resources/going-too-far/
		Students may distribute extremist material using the institution IT system.	Settings should ensure that there is a clear reporting process in place should filtering systems flag any safeguarding or Prevent-related concerns.					
		Unclear linkages between IT policy and the Prevent duty. No consideration of filtering as a means of restricting access to harmful content.	The designated safeguarding lead should take lead responsibility for safeguarding and child protection (including online safety).					
			Settings should equip children and young people with the skills to stay safe online, both in school and outside.					
	External speakers or visitors being given a platform to radicalise children and young people or spread hateful or divisive narratives.	Leaders do not provide a safe space for children to learn.	A process is in place to manage site visitors, including sub-contractors.					Political Impartiality Guidance When using external agencies, schools in England must be mindful of their existing duties regarding political impartiality and to ensure the balanced presentation of political issues. Guidance on this is available on GOV.UK.

Category	Risk	Hazard	Risk management	Rag	Further action needed	Lead officer	Date for completion	Support available
Visitors		Settings do not have clear protocols for ensuring that any visiting speakers are suitable and appropriately supervised.	The setting has a robust risk assessment and carries out due diligence checks on visitors, speakers, the organisations they represent and the materials they promote or share.					https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/political-impartiality-in-schools/political-impartiality-in-schools#the-law
		The setting does not conduct any due diligence checks on visitors or the materials they may use.	The private/commercial use of the institution's spaces is effectively managed & due diligence checks are carried out on those using/booking and organisations that they represent.					
			The setting seeks advice and support from partners where necessary to make an assessment of suitability.					